



CORRIDALEGAL
Corporate & Employment Law Firm

BARE ACT

THE INDIAN PARTNERSHIP ACT, 1932

Corrida Legal presents the Bare Act Series, sourced from official texts and supported with an executive summary designed to help readers grasp the essence of the law with ease.

NOTE: An Executive Summary of the Partnership Act, 1932 is included towards the end of this document, right after the full bare act of the statute. This summary helps professionals, business owners, compliance officers, HR managers, legal practitioners, and students quickly understand the key provisions relating to the definition and nature of partnership, rights and duties of partners, relation of partners to third parties, incoming and outgoing partners, dissolution of firms, registration of partnership, liability of partners, and settlement of accounts, without having to read the entire text.

The Partnership Act, 1932 Summary provides a clear, practical, and time-saving guide for anyone looking to understand India's partnership law framework, ensure compliance in business structures, manage partnership risks effectively, and stay aligned with statutory requirements under the Partnership Act bare act.

THE INDIAN PARTNERSHIP ACT, 1932

ARRANGEMENT OF SECTIONS

CHAPTER I

PRELIMINARY

SECTIONS

1. Short title, extent and commencement.
2. Definitions.
3. Application of provisions of Act 9 of 1872.

CHAPTER II

THE NATURE OF PARTNERSHIP

4. Definition of “partnership”, “partner”, “firm” and “firm name”.
5. Partnership not created by status.
6. Mode of determining existence of partnership.
7. Partnership at will.
8. Particular partnership.

CHAPTER III

RELATIONS OF PARTNERS TO ONE ANOTHER

9. General duties of partners.
10. Duty to indemnify for loss caused by fraud.
11. Determination of rights and duties of partners by contract between the partners.
 Agreements in restraint of trade.
12. The conduct of the business.
13. Mutual rights and liabilities.
14. The property of the firm.
15. Application of the property of the firm.
16. Personal profits earned by partners.
17. Rights and duties of partners—
 after a change in the firm,
 after the expiry of the term of the firm, and
 where additional undertakings are carried out.

CHAPTER IV

RELATIONS OF PARTNERS TO THIRD PARTIES

18. Partner to be agent of the firm.
19. Implied authority of partner as agent of the firm.
20. Extension and restriction of partner's implied authority.

SECTIONS

21. Partner's authority in an emergency.
22. Mode of doing act to bind firm.
23. Effect of admissions by a partner.,
24. Effect of notice to acting partner.
25. Liability of a partner for acts of the firm.
26. Liability of the firm for wrongful acts of a partner.
27. Liability of firm for misapplication by partners.
28. Holding out.
29. Rights of transferee of a partner's interest.
30. Minors admitted to the benefits of partnership.

CHAPTER V

INCOMING AND OUTGOING PARTNERS

31. Introduction of a partner.
32. Retirement of a partner.
33. Expulsion of a partner.
34. Insolvency of a partner.
35. Liability of estate of deceased partner.
36. Rights of outgoing partner to carry on competing business.
Agreements in restraint of trade.
37. Right of outgoing partner in certain cases to share subsequent profits.
38. Revocation of continuing guarantee by change in firm.

CHAPTER VI

DISSOLUTION OF A FIRM

39. Dissolution of a firm.
40. Dissolution by agreement.
41. Compulsory dissolution.
42. Dissolution on the happening of certain contingencies.
43. Dissolution by notice of partnership at will.
44. Dissolution by the Court.
45. Liability for acts of partners done after dissolution.
46. Right of partners to have business wound up after dissolution.
47. Continuing authority of partners for purposes of winding up.
48. Mode of settlement of accounts between partners.
49. Payment of firm debts and of separate debts.
50. Personal profits earned after dissolution.
51. Return of premium on premature dissolution.

SECTIONS

- 52. Rights where partnership contract is rescinded for fraud or misrepresentation.
- 53. Right to restrain from use of firm name or firm property.
- 54. Agreements in restraint of trade.
- 55. Sale of goodwill after dissolution.
 - Rights of buyer and seller of goodwill.
 - Agreements in restraint of trade.

CHAPTER VII

REGISTRATION OF FIRMS

- 56. Power to exempt from application of this Chapter.
- 57. Appointment of Registrars.
- 58. Application for registration.
- 59. Registration.
- 60. Recording of alterations in firm name and principal place of business.
- 61. Noting of closing and opening of branches.
- 62. Noting of changes in names and addresses of partners.
- 63. Recording of changes in and dissolution of a firm.
 - Recording of withdrawal of a minor.
- 64. Rectification of mistakes.
- 65. Amendment of Register by order of Court.
- 66. Inspection of Register and filed documents.
- 67. Grant of copies.
- 68. Rules of evidence.
- 69. Effect of non-registration.
- 70. Penalty for furnishing false particulars.
- 71. Power to make rules.

CHAPTER VIII

SUPPLEMENTAL

- 72. Mode of giving public notice.
- 73. *[Repealed.]*.
- 74. Savings.

SCHEDULE I.—MAXIMUM FEES

SCHEDULE II.—*[Repealed.]*.

THE INDIAN PARTNERSHIP ACT, 1932

ACT NO. 9 OF 1932

[8th April, 1932.]

An Act to define and amend the law relating to partnership.

WHEREAS it is expedient to define and amend the law relating to partnership; it is hereby enacted as follows:—

CHAPTER I PRELIMINARY

1. Short title, extent and commencement.—(1) This Act may be called the Indian Partnership Act, 1932.

¹[(2) It extends to the whole of India ²[³***].]

(3) It shall come into force on the 1st day of October, 1932, except section 69, which shall come into force on the 1st day of October, 1933.

2. Definitions.—In this Act, unless there is anything repugnant in the subject or context,—

(a) an “act of a firm” means any act or omission by all the partners, or by any partner or agent of the firm which gives rise to a right enforceable by or against the firm;

(b) “business” includes every trade, occupation and profession;

(c) “prescribed” means prescribed by rules made under this Act;

(d) “third party”, used in relation to a firm or to a partner therein, means any person who is not a partner in the firm; and

(e) expressions used but not defined in this Act and defined in the Indian Contract Act, 1872 (9 of 1872), shall have the meanings assigned to them in that Act.

3. Application of provisions of Act 9 of 1872.—The unrepealed provisions of the Indian Contract Act, 1872 (9 of 1872), save in so far as they are inconsistent with the express provisions of this Act, shall continue to apply to firms.

STATE AMENDMENT

Goa, Daman and Diu

In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (2) of Section 3 of the Goa, Daman and Diu (Laws) No. 2 Regulation, 1963, the Lieutenant Governor hereby appoints the 15th March 1964, as the date on which the provisions of the Acts mentioned in the Schedule below shall come into force in the Union Territory of Goa, Daman and Diu.

SCHEDULE

1. The Indian Partnership Act, 1932.

By order and in the name of the Lieutenant Governor of Goa, Daman and Diu.

[Published in the Official Gazette Series I No. 11 dated 12-3-1964] (w.e.f. 22nd January 1964)

1. Subs. by the A. O. 1950, for sub-section (2).

2. Subs. by Act 3 of 1951, s. 3 and the Schedule, for “except Part B States”.

3. The words “except the State of Jammu and Kashmir” omitted by Act 34 of 2019, s. 95 and the Fifth Schedule (w.e.f. 31-10-2019).

CHAPTER II

THE NATURE OF PARTNERSHIP

4. Definition of “partnership”, “partner”, “firm” and “firm name”.—“Partnership” is the relation between persons who have agreed to share the profits of a business carried on by all or any of them acting for all.

Persons who have entered into partnership with one another are called individually “partners” and collectively “a firm”, and the name under which their business is carried on is called the “firm name”.

5. Partnership not created by status.—The relation of partnership arises from contract and not from status;

and, in particular, the members of a Hindu undivided family carrying on a family business as such, or a Burmese Buddhist husband and wife carrying on business as such are not partners in such business

6. Mode of determining existence of partnership.—In determining whether a group of persons is or is not a firm, or whether a person is or is not a partner in a firm, regard shall be had to the real relation between the parties, as shown by all relevant facts taken together.

Explanation 1.—The sharing of profits or of gross returns arising from property by persons holding a joint or common interest in that property does not of itself make such persons partners.

Explanation 2.—The receipt by a person of a share of the profits of a business, or of a payment contingent upon the earning of profits or varying with the profits earned by a business, does not of itself make him a partner with the persons carrying on the business;

and, in particular, the receipt of such share or payment—

(a) by a lender of money to persons engaged or about to engage in any business,

(b) by a servant or agent as remuneration,

(c) by the widow or child of a deceased partner, as annuity, or

(d) by a previous owner or part owner of the business, as consideration for the sale of the goodwill or share thereof,

does not of itself make the receiver a partner with the persons carrying on the business.

7. Partnership at will.—Where no provision is made by contract between the partners for the duration of their partnership or for the determination of their partnership, the partnership is “partnership at will”.

8. Particular partnership.—A person may become a partner with another person in particular adventures or undertakings.

CHAPTER III

RELATIONS OF PARTNERS TO ONE ANOTHER

9. General duties of partners.—Partners are bound to carry on the business of the firm to the greatest common advantage, to be just and faithful to each other, and to render true accounts and full information of all things affecting the firm to any partner or his legal representative.

10. Duty to indemnify for loss caused by fraud.—Every partner shall indemnify the firm for any loss caused to it by his fraud in the conduct of the business of the firm.

11. Determination of rights and duties of partners by contract between the partners. Agreements in restraint of trade.—(1) Subject to the provisions of this Act, the mutual rights and duties of the partners of a firm may be determined by contract between the partners, and such contract may be expressed or may be implied by a course of dealing.

Such contract may be varied by consent of all the partners, and such consent may be expressed or may be implied by a course of dealing.

(2) Notwithstanding anything contained in section 27 of the Indian Contract Act, 1872 (9 of 1872), such contracts may provide that a partner shall not carry on any business other than that of the firm while he is a partner.

12. The conduct of the business.—Subject to contract between the partners—

- (a) every partner has a right to take part in the conduct of the business;
- (b) every partner is bound to attend diligently to his duties in the conduct of the business;
- (c) any difference arising as to ordinary matters connected with the business may be decided by a majority of the partners, and every partner shall have the right to express his opinion before the matter is decided, but no change may be made in the nature of the business without the consent of all the partners; and
- (d) every partner has a right to have access to and to inspect and copy any of the books of the firm.

13. Mutual rights, and liabilities.—Subject to contract between the partners—

- (a) a partner is not entitled to receive remuneration for taking part in the conduct of the business;
- (b) the partners are entitled to share equally in the profits earned, and shall contribute equally to the losses sustained by the firm;
- (c) where a partner is entitled to interest on the capital subscribed by him such interest shall be payable only out of profits;
- (d) a partner making, for the purposes of the business, any payment or advance beyond the amount of capital he has agreed to subscribe, is entitled to interest thereon at the rate of six per cent. per annum;
- (e) the firm shall indemnify a partner in respect of payments made and liabilities incurred by him—
 - (i) in the ordinary and proper conduct of the business, and
 - (ii) in doing such act, in an emergency, for the purpose of protecting the firm from loss, as would be done by a person of ordinary prudence, in his own case, under similar circumstances; and
- (f) a partner shall indemnify the firm for any loss caused to it by his wilful neglect in the conduct of the business of the firm.

14. The property of the firm.—Subject to contract between the partners, the property of the firm includes all property and rights and interests in property originally brought into the stock of the firm, or acquired, by purchase or otherwise, by or for the firm, or for the purposes and in the course of the business of the firm, and includes also the goodwill of the business.

Unless the contrary intention appears, property and rights and interests in property acquired with money belonging to the firm are deemed to have been acquired for the firm.

15. Application of the property of the firm.—Subject to contract between the partners, the property of the firm shall be held and used by the partners exclusively for the purposes of the business.

16. Personal profits earned by partners.—Subject to contract between the partners,—

- (a) if a partner derives any profits for himself from any transaction of the firm, or from the use of the property or business connection of the firm or the firm name, he shall account for that profit and pay it to the firm;
- (b) if a partner carries on any business of the same nature as and competing with that of the firm, he shall account for and pay to the firm all profits made by him in that business.

17. Rights and duties of partners—after a change in the firm, after the expiry of the term of the firm, and—where additional undertakings are carried out.—Subject to contract between the partners,—

(a) where a change occurs in the constitution of a firm, the mutual rights and duties of the partners in the reconstituted firm remain the same as they were immediately before the change, as far as may be;

(b) where a firm constituted for a fixed term continues to carry on business after the expiry of that term, the mutual rights and duties of the partners remain the same as they were before the expiry, so far as they may be consistent with the incidents of partnership at will; and

(c) where a firm constituted to carry out one or more adventures or undertakings carries out other adventures or undertakings, the mutual rights and duties of the partners in respect of the other adventures or undertakings are the same as those in respect of the original adventures or undertakings.

CHAPTER IV

RELATIONS OF PARTNERS TO THIRD PARTIES

18. Partner to be agent of the firm.— Subject to the provisions of this Act, a partner is the agent of the firm for the purposes of the business of the firm.

19. Implied authority of partner as agent of the firm.—(1) Subject to the provisions of section 22, the act of a partner which is done to carry on, in the usual way, business of the kind carried on by the firm, binds the firm.

The authority of a partner to bind the firm conferred by this section is called his “implied authority”.

(2) In the absence of any usage or custom of trade to the contrary, the implied authority of a partner does not empower him to—

- (a) submit a dispute relating to the business of the firm to arbitration,
- (b) open a banking account on behalf of the firm in his own name,
- (c) compromise or relinquish any claim or portion of a claim by the firm,
- (d) withdraw a suit or proceeding filed on behalf of the firm,
- (e) admit any liability in a suit or proceeding against the firm,
- (f) acquire immovable property on behalf of the firm,
- (h) transfer immovable property belonging to the firm, or
- (g) enter into partnership on behalf of the firm.

20. Extension and restriction of partner's implied authority.—The partners in a firm may, by contract between the partners, extend or restrict the implied authority of any partner.

Notwithstanding any such restriction, any act done by a partner on behalf of the firm which falls within his implied authority binds the firm, unless the person with whom he is dealing knows of the restriction or does not know or believe that partner to be a partner.

21. Partner's authority in an emergency.—A Partner has authority, in an emergency, to do all such acts for the purpose of protecting the firm from loss as would be done by a person of ordinary prudence, in his own case, acting under similar circumstances, and such acts bind the firm.

22. Mode of doing act to bind firm.—In order to bind a firm, an act or instrument done or executed by a partner or other person on behalf of the firm shall be done or executed in the firm name, or in any other manner expressing or implying an intention to bind the firm.

23. Effect of admissions by a partner.—An admission or representation made by a partner concerning the affairs of the firm is evidence against the firm, if it is made in the ordinary course of business.

24. Effect of notice to acting partner.—Notice to a partner who habitually acts in the business of the firm of any matter relating to the affairs of the firm operates as notice to the firm, except in the case of a fraud on the firm committed by or with the consent of that partner.

25. Liability of a partner for acts of the firm.—Every partner is liable, jointly with all the other partners and also severally, for all acts of the firm done while he is a partner.

26. Liability of the firm for wrongful acts of a partner.—Where, by the wrongful act or omission of a partner acting in the ordinary course of the business of a firm, or with the authority of his partners, loss or injury is caused to any third party, or any penalty is incurred, the firm is liable therefor to the same extent as the partner.

27. Liability of firm for misapplication by partners.—Where—

(a) a partner acting within his apparent authority receives money or property from a third party and misapplies it, or

(b) a firm in the course of its business receives money or property from a third party, and the money or property is misapplied by any of the partners while it is in the custody of the firm,

the firm is liable to make good the loss.

28. Holding out.—(1) Anyone who by words spoken or written or by conduct represents himself, or knowingly permits himself to be represented, to be a partner in a firm, is liable as a partner in that firm to anyone who has on the faith of any such representation given credit to the firm, whether the person representing himself or represented to be a partner does or does not know that the representation has reached the person so giving credit.

(2) Where after a partner's death the business is continued in the old firm name, the continued use of that name or of the deceased partner's name as a part thereof shall not itself make his legal representative or his estate liable for any act of the firm done after his death.

29. Rights of transferee of a partner's interest.—(1) A transfer by a partner of his interest in the firm, either absolute or by mortgage, or by the creation by him of a charge on such interest, does not entitle the transferee, during the continuance of the firm, to interfere in the conduct of the business, or to require accounts, or to inspect the books of the firm, but entitles the transferee only to receive the share of profits of the transferring partner, and the transferee shall accept the account of profits agreed to by the partners.

(2) If the firm is dissolved or if the transferring partner ceases to be a partner, the transferee is entitled as against the remaining partners to receive the share of the assets of the firm to which the transferring partner is entitled, and, for the purpose of ascertaining that share, to an account as from the date of the dissolution.

30. Minors admitted to the benefits of partnership.—(1) A person who is a minor according to the law to which he is subject may not be a partner in a firm, but, with the consent of all the partners for the time being, he may be admitted to the benefits of partnership.

(2) Such minor has a right to such share of the property and of the profits of the firm as may be agreed upon, and he may have access to and inspect and copy any of the accounts of the firm.

(3) Such minor's share is liable for the acts of the firm, but the minor is not personally liable for any such act.

(4) Such minor may not sue the partners for an account or payment of his share of the property or profits of the firm, save when severing his connection with the firm, and in such case the amount of his share shall be determined by a valuation made as far as possible in accordance with the rules contained in section 48:

Provided that all the partners acting together or any partner entitled to dissolve the firm upon notice to other partners may elect in such suit to dissolve the firm, and thereupon the Court shall proceed with the suit as one for dissolution and for settling accounts between the partners, and the amount of the share of the minor shall be determined along with the shares of the partners.

(5) At any time within six months of his attaining majority, or of his obtaining knowledge that he had been admitted to the benefits of partnership, whichever date is later, such person may give public notice

that he has elected to become or that he has elected not to become a partner in the firm, and such notice shall determine his position as regards the firm:

Provided that, if he fails to give such notice, he shall become a partner in the firm on the expiry of the said six months.

(6) Where any person has been admitted as a minor to the benefits of partnership in a firm, the burden of proving the fact that such person had no knowledge of such admission until a particular date after the expiry of six months of his attaining majority shall lie on the persons asserting that fact.

(7) Where such person becomes a partner,—

(a) his rights and liabilities as a minor continue up to the date on which he becomes a partner, but he also becomes personally liable to third parties for all acts of the firm done since he was admitted to the benefits of partnership, and

(b) his share in the property and profits of the firm shall be the share to which he was entitled as a minor.

(8) Where such person elects not to become a partner,—

(a) his rights and liabilities shall continue to be those of a minor under this section up to the date on which he gives public notice,

(b) his share shall not be liable for any acts of the firm done after the date of the notice, and

(c) he shall be entitled to sue the partners for his share of the property and profits in accordance with sub-section (4).

(9) Nothing in sub-sections (7) and (8) shall affect the provisions of section 28.

CHAPTER V

INCOMING AND OUTGOING PARTNERS

31. Introduction of a partner.—(1) Subject to contract between the partners and to the provisions of section 30, no person shall be introduced as a partner into a firm without the consent of all the existing partners.

(2) Subject to the provisions of section 30, a person who is introduced as a partner into a firm does not thereby become liable for any act of the firm done before he became a partner.

32. Retirement of a partner.—(1) A partner may retire—

(a) with the consent of all the other partners,

(b) in accordance with an express agreement by the partners, or

(c) where the partnership is at will, by giving notice in writing to all the other partners of his intention to retire.

(2) A retiring partner may be discharged from any liability to any third party for acts of the firm done before his retirement by an agreement made by him with such third party and the partners of the reconstituted firm, and such agreement may be implied by a course of dealing between such third party and the reconstituted firm after he had knowledge of the retirement.

(3) Notwithstanding the retirement of a partner from a firm, he and the partners continue to be liable as partners to third parties for any act done by any of them which would have been an act of the firm if done before the retirement, until public notice is given of the retirement:

Provided that a retired partner is not liable to any third party who deals with the firm without knowing that he was a partner.

(4) Notices under sub-section (3) may be given by the retired partner or by any partner of the reconstituted firm.

33. Expulsion of a partner.—(1) A partner may not be expelled from a firm by any majority of the partners, save in the exercise in good faith of powers conferred by contract between the partners.

(2) The provisions of sub-sections (2), (3) and (4) of section 32 shall apply to an expelled partner as if he were a retired partner.

34. Insolvency of a partner.—(1) Where a partner in a firm is adjudicated an insolvent he ceases to be a partner on the date on which the order of adjudication is made, whether or not the firm is thereby dissolved.

(2) Where under a contract between the partners the firm is not dissolved by the adjudication of a partner as an insolvent, the estate of a partner so adjudicated is not liable for any act of the firm and the firm is not liable for any act of the insolvent, done after the date on which the order of adjudication is made.

35. Liability of estate of deceased partner. — Where under a contract between the partners the firm is not dissolved by the death of a partner, the estate of a deceased partner is not liable for any act of the firm done after his death.

36. Rights of outgoing partner to carry on competing business. Agreements in restraint of trade.—(1) An outgoing partner may carry on a business competing with that of the firm and he may advertise such business, but, subject to contract to the contrary, he may not—

- (a) use the firm name,
- (b) represent himself as carrying on the business of the firm, or
- (c) solicit the custom of persons who were dealing with the firm before he ceased to be a partner.

(2) A partner may make an agreement with his partners that on ceasing to be a partner he will not carry on any business similar to that of the firm within a specified period or within specified local limits; and, notwithstanding anything contained in section 27 of the Indian Contract Act, 1872 (9 of 1872), such agreement shall be valid if the restrictions imposed are reasonable.

37. Right of outgoing partner in certain cases to share subsequent profits.—Where any member of a firm has died or otherwise ceased to be a partner, and the surviving or continuing partners carry on the business of the firm with the property of the firm without any final settlement of accounts as between them and the outgoing partner or his estate, then, in the absence of a contract to the contrary, the outgoing partner or his estate is entitled at the option of himself or his representatives to such share of the profits made since he ceased to be a partner as may be attributable to the use of his share of the property of the firm or to interest at the rate of six per cent. per annum on the amount of his share in the property of the firm:

Provided that whereby contract between the partners an option is given to surviving or continuing partners to purchase the interest of a deceased or outgoing partner, and that option is duly exercised, the estate of the deceased partner, or the outgoing partner or his estate, as the case may be, is not entitled to any further or other share of profits; but if any partner assuming to act in exercise of the option does not in all material respects comply with the terms thereof, he is liable to account under the foregoing provisions of this section.

38. Revocation of continuing guarantee by change in firm. —A continuing guarantee given to a firm, or to a third party in respect of the transactions of a firm, is, in the absence of agreement to the contrary, revoked as to future transactions from the date of any change in the constitution of the firm.

CHAPTER VI

DISSOLUTION OF A FIRM

39. Dissolution of a firm.—The dissolution of partnership between all the partners of a firm is called the “dissolution of the firm”.

40. Dissolution by agreement.—A firm may be dissolved with the consent of all the partners or in accordance with a contract between the partners.

41. Compulsory dissolution.—A firm is dissolved—

- (a) by the adjudication of all the partners or of all the partners but one as insolvent, or
- (b) by the happening of any event which makes it unlawful for the business of the firm to be carried on or for the partners to carry it on in partnership :

Provided that, where more than one separate adventure or undertaking is carried on by the firm, the illegality of one or more shall not of itself cause the dissolution of the firm in respect of its lawful adventures and undertakings.

42. Dissolution on the happening of certain contingencies.—Subject to contract between the partners a firm is dissolved—

- (a) if constituted for a fixed term, by the expiry of that term;
- (b) if constituted to carry out one or more adventures or undertakings, by the completion thereof;
- (c) by the death of a partner; and
- (d) by the adjudication of a partner as an insolvent.

43. Dissolution by notice of partnership at will.—(1) Where the partnership is at will, the firm may be dissolved by any partner giving notice in writing to all the other partners of his intention to dissolve the firm.

(2) The firm is dissolved as from the date mentioned in the notice as the date of dissolution or, if no date is so mentioned, as from the date of the communication of the notice.

44. Dissolution by the Court.—At the suit of a partner, the Court may dissolve a firm on any of the following grounds, namely:—

- (a) that a partner has become of unsound mind, in which case the suit may be brought as well by the next friend of the partner who has become of unsound mind as by any other partner;
- (b) that a partner, other than the partner suing, has become in any way permanently incapable of performing his duties as partner;
- (c) that a partner, other than the partner suing, is guilty of conduct which is likely to affect prejudicially the carrying on of the business, regard being had to the nature of the business;
- (d) that a partner, other than the partner suing, wilfully or persistently commits breach of agreements relating to the management of the affairs of the firm or the conduct of its business, or otherwise so conducts himself in matters relating to the business that it is not reasonably practicable for the other partners to carry on the business in partnership with him;
- (e) that a partner, other than the partner suing, has in any way transferred the whole of his interest in the firm to a third party, or has allowed his share to be charged under the provisions of rule 49 of Order XXI of the First Schedule to the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 (5 of 1908), or has allowed it to be sold in the recovery of arrears of land-revenue or of any dues recoverable as arrears of land-revenue due by the partner;
- (f) that the business of the firm cannot be carried on save at a loss; or
- (g) on any ground which renders it just and equitable that the firm should be dissolved.

45. Liability for acts of partners done after dissolution.—(1) Notwithstanding the dissolution of a firm, the partners continue to be liable as such to third parties for any act done by any of them which would have been an act of the firm if done before the dissolution, until public notice is given of the dissolution:

Provided that the estate of a partner who dies, or who is adjudicated an insolvent, or of a partner who, not having been known to the person dealing with the firm to be a partner, retires from the firm, is not liable under this section for acts done after the date on which he ceases to be a partner.

(2) Notices under sub-section (1) may be given by any partner.

46. Right of partners to have business wound up after dissolution.—On the dissolution of a firm every partner or his representative is entitled, as against all the other partners or their representatives, to have the property of the firm applied in payment of the debts and liabilities of the firm, and to have the surplus distributed among the partners or their representatives according to their rights.

47. Continuing authority of partners for purposes of winding up.—After the dissolution of a firm the authority of each partner to bind the firm, and the other mutual rights and obligations of the partners, continue notwithstanding the dissolution, so far as may be necessary to wind up the affairs of the firm and to complete transactions begun but unfinished at the time of the dissolution, but not otherwise:

Provided that the firm is in no case bound by the acts of a partner who has been adjudicated insolvent; but this proviso does not affect the liability of any person who has after the adjudication represented himself or knowingly permitted himself to be represented as a partner of the insolvent.

48. Mode of settlement of accounts between partners. —In settling the accounts of a firm after dissolution, the following rules shall, subject to agreement by the partners, be observed: —

(a) Losses, including deficiencies of capital, shall be paid first out of profits, next out of capital, and, lastly, if necessary, by the partners individually in the proportions in which they were entitled to share profits.

(b) The assets of the firm, including any sums contributed by the partners to make up deficiencies of capital, shall be applied in the following manner and order:—

(i) in paying the debts of the firm to third parties;

(ii) in paying to each partner rateably what is due to him from the firm for advances as distinguished from capital;

(iii) in paying to each partner rateably what is due to him on account of capital; and

(iv) the residue, if any, shall be divided among the partners in the proportions in which they were entitled to share profits.

49. Payment of firm debts and of separate debts.—Where there are joint debts due from the firm, and also separate debts due from any partner, the property of the firm shall be applied in the first instance in payment of the debts of the firm, and, if there is any surplus, then the share of each partner shall be applied in payment of his separate debts or paid to him. The separate property of any partner shall be applied first, in the payment of his separate debts, and the surplus (if any) in the payment of the debts of the firm.

50. Personal profits earned after dissolution.—Subject to contract between the partners, the provisions of clause (a) of section 16 shall apply to transactions by any surviving partner or by the representatives of a deceased partner, undertaken after the firm is dissolved on account of the death of a partner and before its affairs have been completely wound up:

Provided that where any partner or his representative has bought the goodwill of the firm, nothing in this section shall affect his right to use the firm name.

51. Return of premium on premature dissolution. —Where a partner has paid a premium on entering into partnership for a fixed term, and the firm is dissolved before the expiration of that term otherwise than by the death of a partner, he shall be entitled to repayment of the premium or of such part thereof as may be reasonable, regard being had to the terms upon which he became a partner and to the length of time during which he was a partner, unless—

(a) the dissolution is mainly due to his own misconduct, or

(b) the dissolution is in pursuance of an agreement containing no provision for the return of the premium or any part of it.

52. Rights where partnership contract is rescinded for fraud or misrepresentation.—Where a contract creating partnership is rescinded on the ground of the fraud or misrepresentation of any of the parties thereto, the party entitled to rescind is, without prejudice to any other right, entitled—

(a) to a lien on, or a right of retention of, the surplus or the assets of the firm remaining after the debts of the firm have been paid, for any sum paid by him for the purchase of a share in the firm and for any capital contributed by him;

(b) to rank as a creditor of the firm in respect of any payment made by him towards the debts of the firm; and

(c) to be indemnified by the partner or partners guilty of the fraud or misrepresentation against all the debts of the firm.

53. Right to restrain from use of firm name or firm property.—After a firm is dissolved, every partner or his representative may, in the absence of a contract between the partners to the contrary, restrain any other partner or his representative from carrying on a similar business in the firm name or from using any of the property of the firm for his own benefit, until the affairs of the firm have been completely wound up:

Provided that where any partner or his representative has bought the goodwill of the firm, nothing in this section shall affect his right to use the firm name.

54. Agreements in restraint of trade.—Partners may, upon or in anticipation of the dissolution of the firm, make an agreement that some or all of them will not carry on a business similar to that of the firm within a specified period or within specified local limits; and notwithstanding anything contained in section 27 of the Indian Contract Act, 1872 (9 of 1872), such agreement shall be valid if the restrictions imposed are reasonable.

55. Sale of goodwill after dissolution. Rights of buyer and seller of goodwill. Agreements in restraint of trade.—(1) In settling the accounts of a firm after dissolution, the goodwill shall, subject to contract between the partners, be included in the assets, and it may be sold either separately or along with other property of the firm.

(2) Where the goodwill of a firm is sold after dissolution, a partner may carry on a business competing with that of the buyer and he may advertise such business, but, subject to agreement between him and the buyer, he may not—

(a) use the firm name,

(c) represent himself as carrying on the business of the firm, or

(c) solicit the custom of persons who were dealing with the firm before its dissolution.

(3) Any partner may, upon the sale of the goodwill of a firm, make an agreement with the buyer that such partner will not carry on any business similar to that of the firm within a specified period or within specified local limits, and, notwithstanding anything contained in section 27 of the Indian Contract Act, 1872 (9 of 1872), such agreement shall be valid if the restrictions imposed are reasonable.

CHAPTER VII

REGISTRATION OF FIRMS

56. Power to exempt from application of this Chapter.—The¹[State Government of any State] may, by notification in the Official Gazette, direct that the provisions of this Chapter shall not apply to²[that State] or to any part thereof specified in the notification.

57. Appointment of Registrars.—(1) The State Government may appoint Registrars of Firms for the purposes of this Act, and may define the areas within which they shall exercise their powers and perform their duties.

1. Subs. by the A.O. 1937, for “G. G. in C.”.

2. Subs. *ibid.*, for “any province”.

(2) Every Registrar shall be deemed to be a public servant within the meaning of section 21 of the Indian Penal Code (45 of 1860).

STATE AMENDMENT

Goa, Daman and Diu

In exercise of power conferred under sub-section (1) of Section 57 of the **Indian Partnership Act, 1932 (IX of 1932)** (hereinafter referred to as the Act), the Government of Goa, in supersession of all earlier Notifications which may have been issued in the context and which may render to be contradictory to present Notification hereby appoints the Officers shown in Column No. II of the table below as Registrar of Firms who shall exercise, perform and discharge the powers, functions and duties of the Registrar under the Act within the jurisdiction mentioned in Column No. III of the table below:—

Sr. No.	Designation of Officer	Jurisdiction
I	II	III
1.	Civil Registrar-cum-Sub-Registrar, Pernem	Pernem Taluka
2.	Jt. Civil Registrar-cum-Sub-Registrar-I, Bardez	Bardez Taluka
3.	Civil Registrar-cum-Sub-Registrar, Bicholim	Bicholim Taluka
4.	Civil Registrar-cum-Sub-Registrar, Sattari	Sattari Taluka
5.	Jt. Civil Registrar-cum-Sub-Registrar-I, Tiswadi	Tiswadi Taluka
6.	Jt. Civil Registrar-cum-Sub-Registrar-I, Ponda	Ponda Taluka
7.	Civil Registrar-cum-Sub-Registrar, Dharbandora	Dharbandora Taluka
8.	Jt. Civil Registrar-cum-Sub-Registrar-I, Mormugao	Mormugao Taluka
9.	Jt. Civil Registrar-cum-Sub-Registrar-I, Salcete	Salcete Taluka
10.	Civil Registrar-cum-Sub-Registrar, Quepem	Quepem Taluka
11.	Civil Registrar-cum-Sub-Registrar, Sanguem	Sanguem Taluka
12.	Civil Registrar-cum-Sub-Registrar, Canacona	Canacona Taluka

This Notification shall come into force with immediate effect.

(Published in the Official Gazette Series I No. 49(Extraordinary) dated 7-3-2019) (w.e.f. 8/42/2018-LD(Estt)/469 dated 6-03-2019)

58. Application for registration.—(1) The registration of a firm may be effected at any time by sending by post or delivering to the Registrar of the area in which any place of business of the firm is situated or proposed to be situated, a statement in the prescribed form and accompanied by the prescribed fee, stating—

- the firm name,
- the place or principal place of business of the firm,
- the names of any other places where the firm carries on business,
- the date when each partner joined the firm,
- the names in full and permanent addresses of the partners, and
- the duration of the firm.

The statement shall be signed by all the partners, or by their agents specially authorised in this behalf.

(2) Each person signing the statement shall also verify it in the manner prescribed.

(3) A firm name shall not contain any of the following words, namely:—

“Crown”, “Emperor”, “Empress”, “Empire”, “Imperial”, “King”, “Queen”, “Royal”, or words expressing or implying the sanction, approval or patronage of^{1***} Government^{2***}, except³ [when the State Government] signifies⁴ [its] consent to the use of such words as part of the firm name by order in writing^{5***}.

STATE AMENDMENT

Goa, Daman and Diu

The Government of Goa is hereby pleased to levy a non-refundable processing fee of Rs. 1,000/- (Rupees one thousand only) for processing the documents for registration of Partnership Firm under the Indian Partnership Act, 1932 (Central Act 9 of 1932).

This Order shall come into force with effect from the 1st day of April, 2017.

(Published in the Official Gazette Series I No. 52 (Extraordinary-2) dated 31-3-2017) (w.e.f. 8-5-2017-LD(Estt.) (C)/407 dated 31-3-2017)

Uttarakhand

Substitution of section 58.—In the Indian Partnership Act, 1932, (hereinafter referred to as the Principal Act) section 58 shall be substituted as follows, namely:-

58. Application for registration.—(1) The registration of a firm may be effected at any time by uploading on the website following statement in the prescribed online form and accompanied with prescribed fees to the Registrar of the area in which any place of business of the firm is situated or proposed to be situated, stating.—

- (a) the firm name,
- (b) the place or principal place of business of the firm,
- (c) the names of any other places where the firm carries on business,
- (d) the date when each partner joined the firm,
- (e) the names in full and permanent addresses of the partners, and
- (f) the duration of the firm.

The statement shall be digitally signed by all the partners or by their agents specially authorized in this behalf.

(2) The applicant, signing the statement shall also upload to the website, verifying the statement recorded in the online format mentioned in sub-section (1), verifying it in the affidavit certified by the Notary on the non-judicial stamp paper of Rs. 10/.

(3) The desired enclosed shall also be uploaded on website, by the applicant.

(4) A firm name shall not contain the word Union, State, Land Mortgage, Land development, Cooperative, Gandhi, Reserve Bank or any of the words expressing or implying the sanction, approval or patronage of Government, except when the State Government signifies its consent to the use of such words as part of the firm name by order in writing.

(5) The prescribed fee of registration shall be submitted online after the online approval given by the Registrar.

1. The words “the Crown or the Central Government or any Provincial” omitted by the A. O. 1950. The words “the Central Government or any Provincial Government or the Crown Representative” were subs. by the A. O. 1937 for “the G. of I. or a L. G.”

2. The words “or the Crown Representative” omitted by the A.O. 1948.

3. Subs. by the A.O. 1937, for “when the G.G. in C.”

4. Subs. *ibid.* for “his”

5. The words “under the hand of one of the Secretaries to the G. of I.” omitted, *ibid.*

(6) After submitting the prescribed registration fee the digitally signed registration certificate may be downloaded from the website by the applicant.

[Vide Uttarakhand Act 5 of 2019, s. 2]

Rajasthan

Amendment of section 58, Central Act IX of 1932.—For sub-section (3) of section 58 of the Indian Partnership Act, 1932 (Central Act IX of 1932), hereinafter referred to as the principal Act, the following sub-sections shall be substituted, namely :-

"(3) No firm shall be registered by a name which, in the opinion of the State Government, is undesirable.

(4) Except with the previous sanction in writing of the State Government, no firm shall be registered by a name which contains any of the following words, namely:-

(a) 'Union', 'State', 'President', 'Republic' or any word expressing or implying the sanction, approval or patronage of the Central or any State Government ; and

(b) 'Municipal', 'Chartered' or any word which suggests or is calculated to suggest connection with any municipality or other local authority:

Provided that nothing in this sub-section shall apply to any firm registered before the date of the commencement of the Indian Partnership (Rajasthan Amendment) Act, 1971."

[Vide Rajasthan Act 10 of 1971, s. 2]

59. Registration.—Where the Registrar is satisfied that the provisions of section 58 have been duly complied with, he shall record an entry of the statement in a register called the Register of Firms, and shall file the statement.

STATE AMENDMENTS

Karnataka

Amendment of section 59A.—In section 59A of the Indian Partnership Act, 1932 (Central Act IX of 1932), in sub-section (1), for the words “by reason of the reorganization of States”, the words, figures and brackets “by reason of the addition of the Bellary District to the State of Mysore under the Andhra State Act, 1953 (Central Act XXX of 1953), or of the reorganization of States under the States Reorganisation Act, 1956 (Central Act 37 of 1956)” shall be substituted.

[Vide Karnataka Act 19 of 1961, s. 2]

Maharashtra

Amendment of section 59A-1 of IX of 1932.—In section 59A-1 of the Indian Partnership Act, 1932 (IX of 1932), in its application to the State of Maharashtra (hereinafter referred to as "the principal Act"), for the words "one hundred rupees" the words "one thousand rupees" shall be substituted.

[Vide Maharashtra Act 16 of 2018, s. 2]

Goa, Daman and Diu

As required under the Ease of Doing Business, Government of Goa, Law (Establishment) Division and Registration Department hereby mandates “Registration of Partnership Firms” shall be accepted and processed Online only without requiring the applicant to submit a physical copy of the application or associated supporting documentation including executed deed of Partnership. The department staff (all Sub-Registrar Officers) are hereby instructed to process application through the online mode only.

Further, it is also mandated that all queries against applicants, applications (if any) should be submitted to the applicants only once and within 7 days of receipt of the application.

This Notification shall come into force with effect from 8th of March, 2019.

This issues in supersession to earlier Notification of even number dated 11-12-2018 and all the Partnership Firms registration initiated under Online Partnership Registration Web Application/Website (<https://partnership.goa.gov.in>).

(Published in the Official Gazette Series I No. 49(Extraordinary) dated 7-3-2019) (w.e.f. 8/8/2018-LD(Estt)/470 dated 7th March 2019.)

60. Recording of alterations in firm name and principal place of business.—(1) When an alteration is made in the firm name or in the location of the principal place of business of a registered firm, a statement may be sent to the Registrar accompanied by the prescribed fee, specifying the alteration, and signed and verified in the manner required under section 58.

(2) When the Registrar is satisfied that the provisions of sub-section (1) have been duly complied with, he shall amend the entry relating to the firm in the Register of Firms in accordance with the statement, and shall file it along with the statement relating to the firm filed under section 59.

61. Noting of closing and opening of branches.—When a registered firm discontinues business at any place or begins to carry or business at any place, such place not being its principal place of business, any partner or agent of the firm may send intimation thereof to the Registrar, who shall make a note of such intimation in the entry relating to the firm in the Register of Firms, and shall file the intimation along with the statement relating to the firm filed under section 59.

62. Noting of changes in names and addresses of partners.—When any partner in a registered firm alters his name or permanent address, an intimation of the alteration may be sent by any partner or agent of the firm to the Registrar, who shall deal with it in the manner provided in section 61.

63. Recording of changes in and dissolution of a firm. Recording of withdrawal of a minor.—(1) When a change occurs in the constitution of a registered firm any incoming, continuing or outgoing partner, and when a registered firm is dissolved any person who was a partner immediately before the dissolution, or the agent of any such partner or person specially authorised in this behalf, may give notice to the Registrar of such change or dissolution, specifying the date thereof; and the Registrar shall make a record of the notice in the entry relating to the firm in the Register of Firms, and shall file the notice along with the statement relating to the firm filed under section 59.

(2) When a minor who has been admitted to the benefits of partnership in a firm attains majority and elects to become or not to become a partner, and the firm is then a registered firm, he, or his agent specially authorised in this behalf, may give notice to the Registrar that he has or has not become a partner, and the Registrar shall deal with the notice in the manner provided in sub-section (1)

64. Rectification of mistakes.—(1) The Registrar shall have power at all times to rectify any mistake in order to bring the entry in the Register of Firms relating to any firm into conformity with the documents relating to that firm filed under this Chapter.

(2) On application made by all the parties who have signed any document relating to a firm filed under this Chapter, the Registrar may rectify any mistake in such document or in the record or note thereof made in the Register of Firms.

65. Amendment of Register by order of Court.—A Court deciding any matter relating to a registered firm may direct that the Registrar shall make any amendment in the entry in the Register of Firms relating to such firm which is consequential upon its decision; and the Registrar shall amend the entry accordingly.

66. Inspection of Register and filed documents.—(1) The Register of Firms shall be open to inspection by any person on payment of such fee as may be prescribed.

(2) All statements, notices and intimations filed under this Chapter shall be open to inspection, subject to such conditions and on payment of such fee as may be prescribed.

67. Grant of copies.—The Registrar shall on application furnish to any person, on payment of such fee as may be prescribed, a copy, certified under his hand, of any entry or portion thereof in the Register of Firms.

STATE AMENDMENT

Uttarakhand

Substituted of section 67.—In Principal Act, section 67 shall be substituted as follow, namely:--

67. Grant of copies.—The Registrar shall on online application furnish to any person, on payment of such fee as may be prescribed , a copy digitally certified under his hand of any entry or portion thereof in the register of firms.

[Vide Uttarakhand Act 5 of 2019, s. 3]

68. Rules of evidence.—(1) Any statement, intimation or notice recorded or noted in the Register of Firms shall, as against any person by whom or on whose behalf such statement, intimation or notice was signed, be conclusive proof of any fact therein stated.

(2) A certified copy of an entry relating to a firm in the Register of Firms may be produced in proof of the fact of the registration of such firm, and of the contents of any statement, intimation or notice recorded or noted therein.

STATE AMENDMENT

Uttarakhand

Amendment of section 68.—In Principal Act, sub-section (1) of section 68 shall be substituted as follows, namely:--

68. Rules of Evidence:--(1) Any statement, intimation or notice recorded or noted in the register of Firms shall, as against any person by whom or on whose behalf such statement, intimation or notice was digitally signed, be conclusive proof of any fact therein stated.

[Vide Uttarakhand Act 5 of 2019, s. 4]

69. Effect of non-registration.—(1) No suit to enforce a right arising from a contract or conferred by this Act shall be institutes in any Court by or on behalf of any person suing as a partner in a firm against the firm or any person alleged to be or to have been a partner in the firm unless the firm is registered and the person suing is or has been shown in the Register of Firms as a partner in the firm.

(2) No suit to enforce a tight arising from a contract shall be instituted in any Court by or on behalf of a firm against any third party unless the firm is registered and the persons suing are or have been shown in the Register of Firms as partners in the firm.

(3) The provisions of sub-sections (1) and (2) shall apply also to a claim of set-off or other proceeding to enforce a right arising from a contract, but shall not affect—

(a) the enforcement of any right to sue for the dissolution of a firm or for accounts of a dissolved firm, or a ay right or power to realise the property of a dissolved firm, or

(b) the powers of an official assignee, receiver or Court under the Presidency-towns Insolvency Act, 1909 (2 of 1909), or the Provincial Insolvency Act, 1920 (5 of 1920), to realise the property of an insolvent partner.

(4) This section shall not apply—

(a) to firms or to partners in firms which have no place of business in ¹[the territories to which this Act extends], or whose places of business in ²[the said territories] are situated in areas to which, by notification under ³[section 56], this Chapter does not apply, or

(b) to any suit or claim of set-off not exceeding one hundred rupees in value which, in the Presidency-towns, is not of a kind specified in section 19 of the Presidency Small Cause Courts Act, 1882 (15 of 1882), or, outside the Presidency-towns, is not of a kind specified in the Second Schedule to the Provincial Small Cause Courts Act, 1887 (9 of 1887), or to any proceeding in execution or other proceeding incidental to or arising from any such suit or claim.

1. Subs. by Act 3 of 1951, s. 3 and the Schedule, for “Part A States and Part C States”.

2. Subs. by s. 3 and the Schedule, *ibid.*, for “such States”.

3. Subs. by Act 24 of 1934, s. 2 and the First Schedule, for “section 55”.

STATE AMENDMENT

Maharashtra

Substitution of section 69A of IX of 1932.--For section 69A of the principal Act, the following section shall be substituted, namely:--

"69A. Charges for delay in compliance of section 60, 61, 62 or 63.--If any statement, intimation or notice under section 60, 61, 62 or as the case may be, 63, in respect of any registered firm is not sent or given to the Registrar, within the period specified in that section, the Registrar may, make suitable amendments in the records relating to the firm, upon payment of charges for delay in sending or giving the same, at the rate of rupees two thousand per year or part thereof in respect of the period between the date of expiry of the period specified in that section and the date of making the payment."

[Vide Maharashtra Act 16 of 2018, s. 3]

70. Penalty for furnishing false particulars.—Any person who signs any statement, amending statement, notice or intimation under this Chapter containing any particular which he knows to be false or does not believe to be true, or containing particulars which he knows to be incomplete or does not believe to be complete, shall be punishable with imprisonment which may extend to three months, or with fine, or with both.

71. Power to make rules.— (1) The ¹[State Government] ²[may by notification in the Official Gazette make rules] prescribing the fees which shall accompany documents sent to the Registrar of Firms, or which shall be payable for the inspection of documents in the custody of the Registrar of Firms, or for copies from the Register of Firms:

Provided that such fees shall not exceed the maximum fees specified in Schedule I.

(2) The State Government may ³[also] make rules—

- (a) prescribing the form of statement submitted under section 58, and of the verification thereof;
- (b) requiring statements, intimations and notices under sections 60, 61, 62 and 63 to be in prescribed form, and prescribing the form thereof;
- (c) prescribing the form of the Register of Firms, and the mode in which entries relating to firms are to be made therein, and the mode in which such entries are to be amended or notes made therein;
- (d) regulating the procedure of the Registrar when disputes arise;
- (e) regulating the filing of documents received by the Registrar;
- (f) prescribing conditions for the inspection of original documents;
- (g) regulating the grant of copies;
- (h) regulating the elimination of registers and documents;
- (i) providing for the maintenance and form of an index to the Register of Firms; and
- (j) generally, to carry out the purposes of this Chapter.

(3) All rules made under this section shall be subject to the condition of previous publication.

⁴[(4) Every rule made by the State Government under this section shall be laid, as soon as it is made, before the State Legislature.]

CHAPTER VIII SUPPLEMENTAL

72. Mode of giving public notice.—A public notice under this Act is given—

- (a) where it relates to the retirement or expulsion of a partner from a registered firm, or to the dissolution of a registered firm, or to the election to become or not to become a partner in a registered firm by a person attaining majority who was admitted as a minor to the benefits of partnership, by

1. Subs. by the A.O. 1937, for "G.G. in C.".

2. Subs. by Act 20 of 1983, s. 2 and the Schedule, for "may make rules" (w.e.f. 15-3-1984).

3. Ins. by the A.O. 1937.

4. Ins. by Act 20 of 1983, s. 2 and Schedule (w.e.f. 15-3-1984).

notice to the Registrar of Firms under section 63, and by publication in the Official Gazette and in at least one vernacular newspaper circulating in the district where the firm to which it relates has its place or principal place of business, and

(b) in any other case, by publication in the Official Gazette and in at least one vernacular newspaper circulating in the district where the firm to which it relates has its place or principal place of business.

73. *[Repeals.] Rep. by the Repealing Act, 1938 (1 of 1938), s. 2 and Schedule.*

74. Savings.—Nothing in this Act or any repeal effected thereby shall affect or be deemed to affect—

(a) any right, title, interest, obligation or liability already acquired, accrued or incurred before the commencement of this Act, or

(b) any legal proceeding or remedy in respect of any such right, title, interest, obligation or liability, or anything done or suffered before the commencement of this Act, or

(c) anything done or suffered before the commencement of this Act, or

(d) any enactment relating to partnership not expressly repealed by this Act, or

(e) any rule of insolvency relating to partnership, or

(f) any rule of law not inconsistent with this Act.

SCHEDULE I
MAXIMUM FEES
[See sub-section (1) of section 71.]

Document or act in respect of which the fee is payable	Maximum fee
Statement under section 58.....	Three rupees.
Statement under section 60.....	One rupee.
Intimation under section 61.....	One rupee.
Intimation under section 62	One rupee.
Notice under section 63.....	One rupee.
Application under section 64.....	One rupee.
Inspection of the Register of Firms under sub-section (1) of section 66.....	Eight annas for inspecting one volume of the Register.
Inspection of documents relating to a firm under sub-section (2) of section 66.....	Eight annas for the inspection of all documents relating to one firm.
Copies from the Register of Firms	Four annas for each hundred words of part thereof.

STATE AMENDMENTS

Karnataka

Amendment of Schedule I.—In schedule I to the Indian Partnership Act, 1932 (Central Act 9 of 1932),—

(1) for the words “Three rupees” and “Four annas”, where they occur, the words “one hundred rupees”, and “one rupees”, shall respectively be substituted;

(2) for the words “One rupee” appearing against “Statement under section 60”, the words, “fifty rupees”, shall be substituted;

(3) for the words “One rupee” appearing against “Intimation under section 61,” “Intimation under 62” and “Notice under section 63” and “application under section 64,” the words “Twenty five rupees” shall respectively be substituted.

(4) for the words, “Eight annas” appearing against “Inspection of the Register of Firms under sub-section (1) of section 66”, the words, “Twenty rupees”, shall be substituted;

(5) for the words, “Eight annas”, appearing against “Inspection of documents relating to a firm under sub-section (2) of section 66”, the words “Ten rupees” shall be substituted.

[Vide Karnataka Act 1 of 1987, s. 2].

STATE AMENDMENT

Kerala

Substitution of new Schedule for Schedule I.-In the Indian Partnership Act, 1932 (Central Act 9 of 1932), for Schedule I, the following Schedule shall be substituted, namely

“SCHEDULE I
Maximum Fees
[See sub-section (1) of section 71]

Document or act in respect of which the fee is payable	Maximum fee
Statement under section 58	Five hundred rupees.
Statement under section 60	Two hundred rupees.
Intimation under section 61	Two hundred rupees.
Intimation under Section 62	Two hundred rupees
Notice under section 63	Two hundred rupees
Application under section 64	Two hundred rupees
Inspection of the Register of Firms under sub-section (1) of section 66	Fifty Rupees for inspecting one volume of the Register.
Inspection of documents relating to a firm under sub-section (2) of section 66	One hundred rupees for the inspection of all documents relating to one firm.
Copies from the Register of Firms	One hundred rupees for each hundred words or a part thereof.”

[Vide Kerala Act 32 of 2013, s. 2]

Kerala

Substitution of new Schedule for Schedule I.-In the Indian Partnership Act, 1932 (Central Act 9 of 1932), for Schedule I, the following Schedule shall be substituted, namely:-

“SCHEDULE I
Maximum Fees
[See sub-section (1) of section 71]

Document or act in respect of which the fee is payable	Maximum fee
Statement under section 58	Fifteen rupees
Statement under section 60	Five rupees
Intimation under section 61	Five rupees
Intimation under section 62	Five rupees
Notice under section 63	Five rupees
Application under section 64	Five rupees
Inspection of the Register of Firms under sub-section (1) of section 66	Two rupees for inspecting one volume of the Register
Inspection of documents relating to a firm under sub-section (2) of section 66	Two rupees for the inspection of all documents relating to one firm
Copies from the Register of Firms	Fifty paise for each hundred words or part thereof.”

[Vide kerala Act 25 of 1973, s. 2]

Rajasthan

Substitution of Schedule I to the Central Act IX of 1932. – In Schedule I of Indian Partnership Act, 1932 (Central Act IX of 1932), for Schedule I, as existing in the application thereof to the State of Rajasthan, the following shall be substituted, namely:-

"SCHEDULE-I

Maximum Fees

[See sub-section (1) of Section 71]

Document or Act in respect of which the fee is payable.		Maximum Fee
1	2	3
1.	Statement under Section 58	Hundred Rupees
2.	Statement Under Section 60	Thirty Rupees
3.	Intimation Under Section 61	Thirty Rupees
4.	Intimation Under Section 62	Thirty Rupees
5.	Notice Under Section 63	Thirty Rupees
6.	Application Under Section 64	Thirty Rupees
7.	Inspection of the Register of Firms Under Sub-Section (1) of Section 66	Twenty Ruppes for inspection of one volume of register
8.	Inspection of documents relating to a firm under sub-section (2) of Section 66	Twenty Rupees for inspection of all documents relating to one firm
9.	Copies from the Register of Firms	Six Rupees for each hundred words or part thereof."

[Vide Rajasthan Act 8 of 1996, s. 2]

Substitution of new Schedule for Schedule I to Central Act IX of 1932.— For Schedule I to the principal Act, the following Schedule shall be substituted, namely:-

"SCHEDULE I

Maximum Fees.

(See sub-section (1) of section 71)

Document or act in respect of which the fee is payable.	Maximum fee.
1	2
1. Statement under section 58.	Fifteen rupees.
2. Statement under section 60.	Five rupees.
3. Intimation under section 61.	Five rupees.
4. Intimation under section 62.	Five rupees.
5. Notice under section 63.	Five rupees.
6. Application under section 64.	Five rupees.
7. Inspection of the register of firms under sub-section (1) of section 66.	Two rupees, for inspecting one volume of Register.
8. Inspection of documents relating to a firm under Sub-section (2) of section 66.	Two rupees for inspection of all documents relating to one firm.
9. Copies from the register of firms .	Two rupees for each hundred words or part thereof."

[Vide Rajasthan Act 10 of 1971, s. 2]

Substitution of Schedule I to the Central Act IX of 1932.-In Schedule I of Indian Partnership Act, 1932 (Central Act IX of 1932), for Schedule I, as existing in the application thereof to the State of Rajasthan, the following shall be substituted, namely:—

"SCHEDULE-I

Maximum Fees

[See sub-section (1) of section 71]

S. No.	Document or act in respect of which the fee is payable	Maximum Fee
1.	2.	3.
1.	Statement under section 58	Three hundred rupees
2.	Statement under section 60	One hundred rupees
3.	Intimation under section 61	One hundred rupees
4.	Intimation under section 62	One hundred rupees
5.	Notice under section 63	One hundred rupees

6.	Application under section 64	One hundred rupees
7.	Inspection of the Register of firms under sub-section (1) of section 66	One hundred rupees for inspection of one volume of Register
8.	Inspection of documents relating to a firm under sub-section (2) of section 66	One hundred rupees for inspection of all documents relating to one firm
9.	Copies from the Register of firms	Fifteen rupees for each hundred words or part thereof."

[Vide Rajasthan Act 7 of 2007, s. 2]

Gujarat

Substituted of Schedule of I of 9 of 1932.—In the Indian Partnership Act, 1932 (9 of 1932), in its application

**“SHEDULE I
MAXIMUM FEES**
(See sub-section (1) of section 71)

Document or act in respect of which the fee is payable	Maximum fee
Statement under section 58	Three hundred rupees
Statement under section 60	One hundred fifty rupees
Statement under section 61	One hundred fifty rupees
Statement under section 62	One hundred fifty rupees
Notice under section 63	One hundred fifty rupees
Application under section 64	One hundred fifty rupees
Inspection of the Register of Firms under sub-section /1) of section 66	Fifty rupees for inspecting one volume of the register
Inspection of documents relating to a firm under sub-section /2) of section 66	Fifty rupees for inspection of all documents relating to one firm
Copies from the Register of Firms	Fifty rupees for each hundred words or part thereof.".

[Vide Gujarat Act 25 of 2019, s. 2]

SCHEDULE II—[Enactments Repealed.] Rep. by Repealing Act, 1938 (1 of 1938), s. 2 and the Schedule.

Indian Partnership Act, 1932 – Bare Act PDF & Executive Summary

Introduction: Indian Partnership Act, 1932 – Bare Act PDF

The Indian Partnership Act 1932 Bare Act PDF is a cornerstone of Indian commercial law, governing how partnerships are formed, managed, and dissolved. Before 1932, partnership law was part of the Indian Contract Act, 1872. With the growth of trade and commerce, the need for a separate law became evident, leading to the enactment of this statute. It continues to provide clarity and enforceability for businesses that choose the partnership structure.

Historical Context of the Indian Partnership Act 1932 Bare Act PDF

Originally, provisions relating to partnerships were contained in Chapter XI (Sections 239–266) of the Indian Contract Act, 1872. However, the complexities of partnership as a business structure required a more detailed and independent framework. Thus, the Indian Partnership Act, 1932 came into force on 1 October 1932, providing specific provisions for the regulation of partnerships, registration of firms, rights and liabilities of partners, and dissolution procedures.

Importance of Partnership Law in Indian Business – Executive Summary PDF

As highlighted in the Indian Partnership Act 1932 Executive Summary PDF, the law continues to be highly relevant for small and medium enterprises (SMEs), family-run businesses, and professional firms. Partnerships are valued for their flexibility, minimal compliance requirements, and trust-based relationships. However, this same informality often creates risks, especially when firms remain unregistered. The Act, therefore, provides both structure and safeguards for business owners.

Relevance of the Indian Partnership Act 1932 PDF Corrida Legal

Corrida Legal's analysis of the Indian Partnership Act 1932 PDF Corrida Legal shows that, despite the emergence of modern structures like Limited Liability Partnerships (LLPs) and private companies, the Partnership Act continues to play a central role in India's economic ecosystem. Many businesses in the unorganised and MSME sectors still operate as partnerships due to ease of setup and operation. Legal clarity on partner rights, liabilities, and dissolution makes this Act indispensable.

What is the Indian Partnership Act, 1932?

The Indian Partnership Act 1932 Bare Act PDF is a legislation that defines and regulates the law relating to partnerships in India. According to Section 4, a partnership is "the relation between persons who have agreed to share the profits of a business carried on by all or any of them acting for all." This definition captures the two core elements of a partnership: profit-sharing and mutual agency.

The Act came into force on 1 October 1932 and continues to govern the rights, duties, and liabilities of partners, as well as the registration and dissolution of partnership firms.

Scope and Purpose of the Indian Partnership Act 1932 Bare Act PDF

The Indian Partnership Act 1932 Bare Act PDF was enacted to:

- Provide a legal framework for forming and running partnerships.
- Clarify the duties and rights of partners.
- Ensure certainty in dealings with third parties.
- Provide procedures for registration and dissolution of partnership firms.
- Balance flexibility of partnerships with enforceable obligations.

Key Objectives in the Indian Partnership Act 1932 Executive Summary PDF

The Indian Partnership Act 1932 Executive Summary PDF explains that the Act serves multiple purposes for businesses:

- Define what constitutes a partnership.
- Recognise different types of partners (active, dormant, nominal, partner by estoppel).
- Establish rules for management, profit-sharing, and liabilities.
- Differentiate partnerships from companies and LLPs.
- Provide remedies in case of disputes, mismanagement, or dissolution.

Analysis in the Indian Partnership Act 1932 PDF Corrida Legal

Corrida Legal's commentary on the Indian Partnership Act 1932 PDF Corrida Legal underlines the continuing relevance of partnerships in India's economy:

- Partnerships are the backbone of SMEs, family businesses, and professional firms.
- The Act ensures clarity on partner liability (which is unlimited, unlike LLPs).
- Registration under the Act, while not mandatory, is strongly recommended as unregistered firms face legal disabilities in enforcing contractual rights.
- Despite modern alternatives like LLPs and companies, partnerships remain a preferred business model for small and trust-based ventures.

Structure of the Indian Partnership Act, 1932

The Indian Partnership Act 1932 Bare Act PDF was enacted as a self-contained code to govern partnership law in India, after being separated from the Indian Contract Act, 1872. The statute provides a systematic framework for defining partnerships, regulating partner relations, and addressing dissolution. Although relatively concise compared to corporate statutes, it remains comprehensive enough to cover the essentials of partnership law.

Overview of the Indian Partnership Act 1932 Bare Act PDF

The Indian Partnership Act 1932 Bare Act PDF is divided into sections dealing with:

- Definition of partnership and types of partners.
- Relations of partners inter se (with each other).
- Relations of partners with third parties.
- Rules governing registration of firms.
- Provisions for dissolution of partnership firms and settlement of accounts.

Scope in the Indian Partnership Act 1932 Executive Summary PDF

The Indian Partnership Act 1932 Executive Summary PDF highlights that the Act comprises 74 sections, though many sections originally linked to partnership under the Contract Act were repealed or moved to other legislations. The Act is structured around:

1. **Preliminary definitions** – including “partner,” “firm,” and “partnership.”
2. **General principles of partnership law** – duties, rights, liabilities.

3. **Partnership and third parties** – implied authority, liability of firm, wrongful acts.
4. **Registration of firms** – procedure, effect of registration, and disabilities of unregistered firms.
5. **Dissolution of partnership and firms** – modes of dissolution, settlement of accounts, rights of outgoing partners.

Corrida Legal's analysis in the Indian Partnership Act 1932 PDF Corrida Legal

Corrida Legal's commentary on the Indian Partnership Act 1932 PDF Corrida Legal emphasises that while the Act is less voluminous than company law, its simplicity is its strength. It provides:

- Flexibility in forming firms.
- Minimal compliance compared to LLPs or companies.
- Strong reliance on contractual agreements (partnership deeds).
- A balance between freedom of partners and accountability to third parties.

Table: Repealed vs Retained Provisions

Aspect	Originally under Contract Act, 1872	Status under Partnership Act, 1932
Partnership law	Sections 239–266 of Contract Act	Transferred to Partnership Act, 1932
Definition of partnership	Defined in Contract Act	Retained in Section 4, Partnership Act
Duties & rights of partners	Covered under Contract Act	Retained & expanded in Partnership Act
Registration of firms	Not provided	Introduced in Partnership Act
Dissolution rules	Limited under Contract Act	Fully codified in Partnership Act

Key Definitions under the Indian Partnership Act, 1932

The Indian Partnership Act 1932 Bare Act PDF lays down several definitions that form the backbone of partnership law in India. These statutory terms clarify the scope of the Act, the nature of partnership relationships, and the responsibilities of individuals involved in such arrangements. Courts in India continue to rely heavily on these definitions to interpret disputes and ensure legal certainty in business dealings.

Definition of Partnership – Indian Partnership Act 1932 Bare Act PDF

Section 4 of the Indian Partnership Act 1932 Bare Act PDF defines a partnership as “the relation between persons who have agreed to share the profits of a business carried on by all or any of them acting for all.”

- **Profit-sharing** is the essence of partnership.
- **Mutual agency** (each partner acting for all) distinguishes partnerships from other business structures.

Definitions in the Indian Partnership Act 1932 Executive Summary PDF

The Indian Partnership Act 1932 Executive Summary PDF lists the following essential definitions:

1. **Partner:** An individual who has entered into a partnership with others.
2. **Firm:** A collective entity of partners engaged in business under a common name.
3. **Firm Name:** The name under which the partnership conducts its business.

4. **Partnership Property:** Assets and property contributed or acquired for the purposes of the firm.

5. **Types of Partners:**

- **Active Partner:** Takes part in day-to-day management.
- **Sleeping Partner:** Invests but does not participate actively.
- **Nominal Partner:** Lends their name but has little or no real interest.
- **Partner by Estoppel or Holding Out:** A person who, by conduct or representation, is held liable as a partner even if not formally one.

Analysis in the Indian Partnership Act 1932 PDF Corrida Legal

Corrida Legal's review of the Indian Partnership Act 1932 PDF Corrida Legal notes that these definitions are not merely academic—they shape the liabilities and rights of partners in practice.

- Partners are agents of the firm, and their actions bind all.
- A “partner by estoppel” may incur liability even without being an actual partner.
- Distinction between partnership property and personal property is crucial in insolvency and dissolution proceedings.

Importance of Definitions – Bare Act PDF Indian Partnership Act 1932 Download

The Bare Act PDF Indian Partnership Act 1932 Download ensures these definitions have legal force, providing:

- **Clarity:** Defines scope of partnership obligations.
- **Certainty:** Prevents disputes over the identity and role of partners.
- **Accountability:** Holds even nominal or estoppel partners liable in certain cases.

Essentials of a Valid Partnership under the Indian Partnership Act, 1932

The Indian Partnership Act 1932 Bare Act PDF sets out clear requirements for what constitutes a valid partnership. Unlike companies, partnerships are relatively simple to form, but they must meet certain legal essentials to be recognised under the Act. These essentials ensure that partnerships are not vague or uncertain arrangements but legally enforceable relationships based on mutual trust, profit-sharing, and accountability.

Core Essentials in the Indian Partnership Act 1932 Bare Act PDF

The Indian Partnership Act 1932 Bare Act PDF provides that the following conditions must be satisfied for a partnership to be valid:

1. **Agreement between persons**
 - A partnership arises only from an agreement (written or oral), not by status or inheritance.
 - The agreement is usually documented in a partnership deed.
2. **Lawful business**
 - The object of the partnership must be a lawful business.
 - Illegal or immoral businesses cannot constitute a valid partnership.
3. **Sharing of profits**
 - Profit-sharing is the essence of partnership (Section 4).

- Losses are also to be shared in the agreed ratio.

4. **Mutual agency**

- Every partner must be an agent for the firm and the other partners.
- The principle of mutual agency distinguishes partnerships from co-ownership.

5. **Number of partners**

- Minimum: 2 partners.
- Maximum: 10 partners for banking businesses and 20 partners for other businesses (as per Companies Act).

Insights from the Indian Partnership Act 1932 Executive Summary PDF

The Indian Partnership Act 1932 Executive Summary PDF explains why these essentials matter:

- Partnerships are trust-based arrangements, making clear agreement vital.
- The profit-sharing test ensures genuine partnerships are distinguished from mere financial arrangements.
- Mutual agency makes partners jointly and severally liable, ensuring accountability.

Corrida Legal's Analysis in the Indian Partnership Act 1932 PDF Corrida Legal

Corrida Legal's commentary on the Indian Partnership Act 1932 PDF Corrida Legal notes that businesses often overlook essentials while forming partnerships, leading to disputes.

Practical implications include:

- Drafting a **partnership deed** with clarity on roles, responsibilities, and profit-sharing is critical.
- Even oral partnerships are valid, but lack of documentation creates litigation risks.
- Registration, though not compulsory, strengthens enforceability of rights.
- Courts consistently uphold mutual agency as the defining test of partnership (*Cox v. Hickman*).

Legal Enforceability – Bare Act PDF Indian Partnership Act 1932 Download

The Bare Act PDF Indian Partnership Act 1932 Download ensures enforceability of valid partnerships:

- Only partnerships meeting these essentials can claim statutory protections.
- Unlawful, sham, or vague arrangements are not recognised.
- Courts rely on Section 4 definition and essentials to determine the existence of a partnership.

Types of Partnerships in India under the Indian Partnership Act, 1932

The Indian Partnership Act 1932 Bare Act PDF recognises different forms of partnerships based on duration, purpose, and legal status. These categories help determine how partnerships are formed, operated, and dissolved. While partnerships are generally simpler than companies or LLPs, understanding their types is essential for business owners, lawyers, and students to identify the rights and liabilities attached to each form.

Partnership at Will – Indian Partnership Act 1932 Bare Act PDF

A partnership at will exists when:

- There is no fixed duration for the partnership.
- The partnership deed does not specify a definite term or particular business project.
- Any partner may dissolve the firm by giving notice to the others.

This type of partnership is common in family businesses and small enterprises due to its flexibility.

Particular Partnership – Indian Partnership Act 1932 Executive Summary PDF

The Indian Partnership Act 1932 Executive Summary PDF highlights particular partnerships, which are formed for a specific venture or project.

- Once the project is completed, the partnership automatically ends.
- Example: A partnership to construct a building or supply goods for a single large order.

Such partnerships are project-specific and provide clarity on the scope of obligations.

Registered Partnership Firms – Indian Partnership Act 1932 PDF Corrida Legal

Corrida Legal's review of the Indian Partnership Act 1932 PDF Corrida Legal stresses the importance of registration.

- Registration of firms is not mandatory under the Act.
- However, unregistered firms face serious legal disabilities, such as being unable to sue third parties to enforce contractual rights.
- Registration strengthens the firm's legal standing and ensures enforceability of rights in court.

For modern businesses, registration is strongly recommended for risk management and compliance.

Unregistered Partnership Firms – Bare Act PDF Indian Partnership Act 1932 Download

The Bare Act PDF Indian Partnership Act 1932 Download allows firms to remain unregistered, but:

- They cannot sue other firms or third parties to enforce contractual rights.
- They can, however, be sued by others.
- Partners in such firms have limited legal remedies against each other.

This imbalance makes unregistered firms less secure, and registration is advisable for serious business ventures.

Comparison of Partnerships with LLPs and Companies – Indian Partnership Act 1932 Executive Summary PDF

The Indian Partnership Act 1932 Executive Summary PDF also distinguishes partnerships from Limited Liability Partnerships (LLPs) and companies:

- Partnerships: Easy to form, unlimited liability of partners, fewer compliances.
- LLPs: Separate legal entity, limited liability of partners, governed by LLP Act, 2008.
- Companies: Separate legal entity, strict compliance under Companies Act, 2013, higher credibility.

While LLPs and companies are gaining popularity, partnerships remain significant in India's MSME and unorganised sectors.

Rights of Partners under the Indian Partnership Act, 1932

The Indian Partnership Act 1932 Bare Act PDF lays down a clear framework of rights that partners enjoy in relation to each other and the firm. These rights are critical for maintaining transparency, trust, and fairness in partnerships, which are fundamentally based on mutual confidence. Unless otherwise modified by a partnership agreement, these rights apply equally to all partners.

Statutory Rights in the Indian Partnership Act 1932 Bare Act PDF

The Act recognises the following rights of partners:

1. **Right to participate in management** – Every partner has the right to take part in the conduct of the business.
2. **Right to share profits** – Partners are entitled to share profits equally unless the partnership deed states otherwise.
3. **Right of access to books** – Each partner may inspect and copy the books of account of the firm.
4. **Right to indemnity** – Partners are entitled to be indemnified for expenses incurred in the ordinary conduct of business or in emergencies.
5. **Right to interest on capital or advances** – Partners may receive interest on capital contributions or advances made to the firm, subject to agreement.
6. **Right to dissolve the firm** – In certain cases, partners have the right to dissolve the partnership at will.

Insights from the Indian Partnership Act 1932 Executive Summary PDF

The Indian Partnership Act 1932 Executive Summary PDF highlights that these rights exist to balance control and accountability within the firm. Key points include:

- Even if one partner contributes more capital, management rights remain equal unless expressly altered.
- Profit-sharing is the default principle, but deeds may specify different ratios.
- Transparency is ensured through the right of inspection, which prevents misuse of partnership property.

Corrida Legal's Analysis in the Indian Partnership Act 1932 PDF Corrida Legal

Corrida Legal's review of the Indian Partnership Act 1932 PDF Corrida Legal stresses that these rights should be carefully addressed in a partnership deed.

Practical implications include:

- Clear clauses on profit-sharing and management prevent disputes.
- Right of indemnity is particularly important in high-risk ventures.
- Access to records ensures accountability and trust among partners.
- Right to dissolve the firm provides flexibility but must be exercised in good faith.

Relevance in the Bare Act PDF Indian Partnership Act 1932 Download

The Bare Act PDF Indian Partnership Act 1932 Download ensures that these rights are enforceable in law. Courts have consistently upheld partner rights in disputes, particularly regarding access to accounts and fair profit distribution.

Duties and Liabilities of Partners under the Indian Partnership Act, 1932

The Indian Partnership Act 1932 Bare Act PDF imposes clear duties and liabilities on partners to ensure honesty, fairness, and accountability in the conduct of business. Unlike companies where liability is limited, in

partnerships the liabilities of partners are personal and unlimited, making duties even more significant. These obligations protect both the firm and third parties who rely on the partnership's credibility.

Duties of Partners – Indian Partnership Act 1932 Bare Act PDF

The statutory duties of partners include:

1. **Duty of Good Faith (Section 9):** Partners must carry on business to the greatest common advantage, be just and faithful to each other, and disclose all relevant information.
2. **Duty to Render True Accounts:** Each partner must provide accurate and honest accounts of all transactions.
3. **Duty to Indemnify for Fraud:** If a partner commits fraud against the firm, they must compensate the firm for losses.
4. **Duty to Use Firm Property Properly:** Partnership property must only be used for partnership business, not for personal benefit.
5. **Duty to Act Diligently:** Partners must exercise reasonable skill and diligence while managing the business.
6. **Duty to Disclose Information:** Full disclosure regarding firm affairs must be made to other partners.

Liabilities of Partners – Indian Partnership Act 1932 Executive Summary PDF

The Indian Partnership Act 1932 Executive Summary PDF explains the liabilities of partners as follows:

1. **Joint and Several Liability:** Each partner is liable jointly with all partners and also severally for acts of the firm.
2. **Liability for Acts of the Firm (Section 25):** The firm is bound by the acts of every partner done in the course of business.
3. **Liability for Wrongful Acts (Section 26):** Partners are liable for wrongful acts or omissions of any partner acting in the course of business.
4. **Liability for Misapplication of Funds (Section 27):** If a partner misapplies money received from a third party, all partners are liable.
5. **Unlimited Liability:** Partners are personally liable to repay debts of the firm if assets are insufficient.

Corrida Legal's Analysis in the Indian Partnership Act 1932 PDF Corrida Legal

Corrida Legal's commentary on the Indian Partnership Act 1932 PDF Corrida Legal underlines that duties and liabilities are the counterbalance to partner rights.

Practical insights include:

- Joint and several liability makes each partner responsible for the actions of the firm, creating high risk.
- Good faith and disclosure duties reduce chances of fraud or mismanagement.
- Unlimited liability is one of the main reasons why many modern entrepreneurs prefer LLPs over traditional partnerships.
- Partnership deeds should clearly specify duties to reduce disputes and litigation.

Legal Enforceability – Bare Act PDF Indian Partnership Act 1932 Download

The Bare Act PDF Indian Partnership Act 1932 Download ensures that duties and liabilities are legally enforceable:

- Courts can compel partners to render accounts and disclose firm information.
- Partners may be personally sued for wrongful acts or fraud committed in the name of the firm.
- Creditors can claim against both the firm's assets and partners' personal assets.

Relations of Partners with Third Parties under the Indian Partnership Act, 1932

The Indian Partnership Act 1932 Bare Act PDF recognises that partnerships are not just private arrangements between partners, they also affect third parties who deal with the firm. For this reason, the Act lays down clear rules on how the acts of partners bind the firm, how liability is shared, and how outsiders are protected. This ensures trust and certainty in commercial transactions involving partnership firms.

Binding Effect of Partner's Acts – Indian Partnership Act 1932 Bare Act PDF

According to Section 18 and Section 19 of the Indian Partnership Act 1932 Bare Act PDF:

- Every partner is an agent of the firm for the purposes of the business.
- The acts of a partner done in the ordinary course of business bind the firm and all partners.
- This is based on the principle of mutual agency, the cornerstone of partnership law.

Liability of Firm for Wrongful Acts – Indian Partnership Act 1932 Executive Summary PDF

The Indian Partnership Act 1932 Executive Summary PDF provides that:

- The firm is liable for wrongful acts or omissions of any partner if done in the course of business (Section 26).
- This includes fraud, negligence, or breach of duty committed by a partner.
- Liability extends to all partners jointly and severally, meaning a third party can sue any one or all partners.

Liability for Misapplication of Funds – Indian Partnership Act 1932 PDF Corrida Legal

Corrida Legal's commentary on the Indian Partnership Act 1932 PDF Corrida Legal highlights Section 27:

- If a partner misapplies money or property received from a third party, the firm is liable.
- Example: If a partner receives payment on behalf of the firm and uses it personally, all partners remain liable to the third party.
- This provision ensures protection of outsiders dealing in good faith with the firm.

Partner by Estoppel or Holding Out – Bare Act PDF Indian Partnership Act 1932 Download

The Bare Act PDF Indian Partnership Act 1932 Download also recognises liability through estoppel:

- If a person represents themselves, or knowingly allows themselves to be represented, as a partner, they may be held liable as a partner to third parties.
- This ensures outsiders are not misled into relying on false representations.

Key Principles in Relations with Third Parties

1. **Agency Principle:** Acts of one partner bind all, if within the firm's ordinary business.
2. **Joint and Several Liability:** All partners are personally liable for debts and obligations.

3. **Protection of Outsiders:** Third parties dealing in good faith are protected, even if internal arrangements are violated.
4. **Holding Out:** Persons misrepresenting themselves as partners are held liable to third parties.

Dissolution of Partnership and Firms under the Indian Partnership Act, 1932

The Indian Partnership Act 1932 Bare Act PDF lays down the rules relating to the dissolution of partnerships and firms, which marks the termination of the partnership relationship and settlement of accounts between partners. Dissolution may be voluntary, compulsory, or by the order of a court. The law ensures that once a firm is dissolved, its rights and liabilities are properly settled, and third parties are protected.

Modes of Dissolution – Indian Partnership Act 1932 Bare Act PDF

According to the **Indian Partnership Act 1932 Bare Act PDF**, dissolution may take place in the following ways:

1. **Dissolution by Agreement (Section 40):**
 - A firm may be dissolved with the consent of all partners or as per terms of the partnership deed.
2. **Compulsory Dissolution (Section 41):**
 - When all partners become insolvent, or when the business becomes unlawful.
3. **Dissolution on Contingencies (Section 42):**
 - By expiry of the fixed term of partnership.
 - By completion of the venture or project.
 - By death of a partner (unless otherwise agreed).
4. **Dissolution by Notice (Section 43):**
 - In a partnership at will, any partner can dissolve the firm by giving notice.
5. **Dissolution by Court (Section 44):**
 - On grounds such as insanity, permanent incapacity, misconduct of a partner, persistent breach of agreement, or just and equitable reasons.

Settlement of Accounts – Indian Partnership Act 1932 Executive Summary PDF

The Indian Partnership Act 1932 Executive Summary PDF provides clear rules for settlement of accounts upon dissolution (Sections 48–55):

- Assets of the firm are first applied to pay debts of third parties.
- Balance is used to repay partners' loans and advances.
- Any remaining assets are distributed among partners in proportion to their profit-sharing ratio.
- In case of loss, partners must contribute from personal assets according to liability.

Rights of Partners on Dissolution – Indian Partnership Act 1932 PDF Corrida Legal

Corrida Legal's analysis of the Indian Partnership Act 1932 PDF Corrida Legal highlights the following rights of partners:

- Right to have firm property applied for payment of debts.
- Right to return of personal advances made to the firm.

- Right to share surplus assets after settlement of liabilities.
- Right of outgoing partners to carry on competing business, subject to restrictions in the partnership deed.

Table: Modes of Dissolution

Mode	Provision	Key Features
Agreement	Section 40	Dissolution with mutual consent or deed terms.
Compulsory	Section 41	Insolvency of all partners or unlawful business.
Contingency	Section 42	Expiry, completion, or death of partner.
Notice	Section 43	Available in partnership at will.
Court order	Section 44	On grounds such as incapacity, misconduct, or just cause.

Legal Enforceability – Bare Act PDF Indian Partnership Act 1932 Download

The Bare Act PDF Indian Partnership Act 1932 Download ensures dissolution is handled fairly and legally:

- Debts and obligations must be cleared before distribution of assets.
- Courts supervise dissolution where disputes arise.
- Rights of creditors are prioritised over partners' claims.

Comparison of Partnerships with LLPs and Companies under the Indian Partnership Act, 1932

The Indian Partnership Act 1932 Bare Act PDF continues to govern traditional partnerships in India. However, with the enactment of the Limited Liability Partnership Act, 2008 and the Companies Act, 2013, businesses now have multiple structural choices. Each form, partnership, LLP, and company, has distinct features in terms of liability, compliance, and governance.

Partnership vs LLP – Indian Partnership Act 1932 Bare Act PDF

Under the Indian Partnership Act 1932 Bare Act PDF, partners have unlimited liability, meaning their personal assets can be used to pay firm debts. By contrast:

- An LLP is a separate legal entity, distinct from its partners.
- Liability of LLP partners is limited to their contribution.
- LLPs offer flexibility similar to partnerships but with reduced personal risk.

Partnership vs Company – Indian Partnership Act 1932 Executive Summary PDF

The Indian Partnership Act 1932 Executive Summary PDF highlights that partnerships and companies differ significantly:

- A partnership is not a separate legal entity; the firm and partners are legally the same.
- A company is a separate legal person with perpetual succession.
- Companies can raise equity from investors, while partnerships rely solely on partners' contributions.

- Compliance requirements under the Companies Act, 2013 are far stricter compared to partnerships.

Corrida Legal's Analysis in the Indian Partnership Act 1932 PDF Corrida Legal

Corrida Legal's review of the Indian Partnership Act 1932 PDF Corrida Legal notes that:

- Partnerships remain popular among small businesses and family firms due to ease of formation and minimal compliance.
- LLPs are increasingly preferred by startups and professionals seeking credibility with limited liability.
- Companies are essential for businesses looking to raise large-scale capital, expand internationally, or operate under a corporate governance framework.

Comparative Table: Partnership vs LLP vs Company

Aspect	Partnership (Indian Partnership Act, 1932)	LLP (LLP Act, 2008)	Company (Companies Act, 2013)
Legal Status	Not a separate legal entity	Separate legal entity	Separate legal entity
Liability	Unlimited liability of partners	Limited to contribution	Limited to shares held
Minimum Members	2 partners	2 partners	2 directors (Private Co.) / 3 (Public Co.)
Maximum Members	10 (banking) / 20 (other)	No limit	200 (Private Co.) / No limit (Public Co.)
Compliance	Low	Moderate	High
Perpetual Succession	No	Yes	Yes
Credibility	Moderate	Higher than partnership	Highest
Suitability	SMEs, family firms	Startups, professionals	Large businesses, corporates

Legal Enforceability – Bare Act PDF Indian Partnership Act 1932 Download

The Bare Act PDF Indian Partnership Act 1932 Download shows that while partnerships are still legally valid, LLPs and companies are often preferred for larger enterprises. Yet, partnerships remain attractive for small-scale ventures due to simplicity and trust-based operations.

Landmark Case Laws under the Indian Partnership Act, 1932

The Indian Partnership Act 1932 Bare Act PDF has been shaped and clarified by numerous judicial decisions over the years. Courts have elaborated on critical principles such as the definition of partnership, the concept of mutual agency, liability of partners, and the effect of registration. These judgments continue to guide the interpretation and application of the Act in modern commercial disputes.

Mutual Agency Principle – Indian Partnership Act 1932 Bare Act PDF

Case: Cox v. Hickman (1860)

- Principle: Established that the true test of partnership is mutual agency, not just profit-sharing.
- Importance: Courts in India apply this principle to determine whether a relationship qualifies as a partnership.

Registration and Rights – Indian Partnership Act 1932 Executive Summary PDF

Case: Champaran Cane Concern v. State of Bihar (AIR 1963 SC 1737)

- Principle: An unregistered firm cannot enforce contractual rights in court.
- Importance: Reinforced the practical necessity of registration under the Act.

Liability of Partners – Indian Partnership Act 1932 PDF Corrida Legal

Case: Sohan Lal v. Amin Chand (AIR 1973 Delhi 80)

- Principle: Partners are jointly and severally liable for firm debts and wrongful acts.
- Importance: Protects third parties dealing with firms in good faith.

Expulsion of Partners – Bare Act PDF Indian Partnership Act 1932 Download

Case: Blisset v. Daniel (1853)

- Principle: A partner cannot be expelled arbitrarily; expulsion must be in good faith and for the benefit of the firm.
- Importance: Reinforces fairness and accountability in partnership governance.

Dissolution Principles – Indian Partnership Act 1932 Executive Summary PDF

Case: Garner v. Murray (1904)

- Principle: On dissolution, if assets are insufficient, solvent partners must contribute towards losses in proportion to their capital.
- Importance: Clarifies settlement of accounts and fairness in distribution.

Table: Landmark Cases under the Indian Partnership Act, 1932

Case	Principle Established	Relevance
<i>Cox v. Hickman</i> (1860)	Mutual agency is the test of partnership	Definition of partnership
<i>Champaran Cane Concern v. State of Bihar</i> (1963)	Unregistered firms cannot sue	Importance of registration
<i>Sohan Lal v. Amin Chand</i> (1973)	Joint and several liability of partners	Protection of third parties
<i>Blisset v. Daniel</i> (1853)	Expulsion must be in good faith	Partner rights
<i>Garner v. Murray</i> (1904)	Loss-sharing rules on dissolution	Settlement of accounts

In summary: The Indian Partnership Act, 1932 has been interpreted through landmark cases that establish the principles of mutual agency, partner liability, registration requirements, expulsion standards, and dissolution

rules. These judgments remain vital for lawyers, businesses, and students studying partnership law.

Impact on Modern Businesses and Startups under the Indian Partnership Act, 1932

The Indian Partnership Act 1932 Bare Act PDF continues to influence India's commercial landscape, particularly in the MSME sector, family businesses, and professional firms. Despite the emergence of Limited Liability Partnerships (LLPs) and private companies, many startups and small ventures still choose partnerships due to their simplicity, low cost, and ease of setup.

However, in modern contexts, the Act presents both advantages and challenges for businesses.

Relevance of Partnerships in India – Indian Partnership Act 1932 Bare Act PDF

The Indian Partnership Act 1932 Bare Act PDF remains relevant because:

- Partnerships are quick and inexpensive to form compared to LLPs or companies.
- They work well for family-owned and trust-based businesses.
- They allow flexibility in management without heavy regulatory oversight.
- They continue to be recognised by banks, tax authorities, and regulators.

Challenges Highlighted in the Indian Partnership Act 1932 Executive Summary PDF

The Indian Partnership Act 1932 Executive Summary PDF also outlines the limitations of partnerships in a modern economy:

- Unlimited liability exposes partners' personal assets to firm debts.
- Unregistered firms cannot enforce contractual rights in court, limiting legal protection.
- Lack of a separate legal entity makes partnerships less attractive for investors.
- Difficulties in scaling, raising capital, and entering into cross-border transactions.

Corrida Legal's Analysis in the Indian Partnership Act 1932 PDF Corrida Legal

Corrida Legal's commentary on the Indian Partnership Act 1932 PDF Corrida Legal notes that while traditional partnerships still play an important role, startups and professionals are increasingly shifting to LLPs and private companies.

Practical insights include:

- Startups prefer LLPs for limited liability and investor confidence.
- Professional firms (law, consultancy, audit practices) still operate as partnerships due to trust-based structures.
- SMEs and MSMEs rely on partnerships for simplicity and lower compliance costs.
- The Act provides a foundational understanding of mutual agency and partner liability, which also informs LLP and company law.

Partnerships vs Modern Business Structures – Bare Act PDF Indian Partnership Act 1932 Download

The Bare Act PDF Indian Partnership Act 1932 Download shows that while partnerships are still legally valid, their role has evolved:

- Partnerships are ideal for small ventures with limited risk exposure.
- LLPs and companies are preferred for scalable, high-investment businesses.

- Investors and lenders generally favour registered structures over unregistered partnerships.

Practical Checklist for Partnerships under the Indian Partnership Act, 1932

The **Indian Partnership Act 1932 Bare Act PDF** provides the legal framework for forming and operating partnerships in India. For business owners and professionals, a **practical checklist** helps ensure that partnership arrangements are valid, enforceable, and compliant with statutory provisions. This checklist is especially useful for MSMEs, startups, and family businesses that often rely on partnerships as their preferred structure.

Checklist for Forming a Partnership – Indian Partnership Act 1932 Bare Act PDF

Before setting up a partnership, parties should:

1. Draft a **partnership agreement (deed)** clearly defining rights, duties, profit-sharing ratios, and dispute resolution mechanisms.
2. Ensure that the partnership is for a **lawful business**, as illegal activities cannot form a valid partnership.
3. Decide whether the partnership is **at will** or for a **specific project/period**.
4. Determine the **capital contribution** of each partner.
5. Agree on how profits and losses will be shared among partners.

Checklist for Registration – Indian Partnership Act 1932 Executive Summary PDF

The **Indian Partnership Act 1932 Executive Summary PDF** highlights the importance of registration. For registration:

1. File an application with the Registrar of Firms in the prescribed form.
2. Include details such as firm name, business place, partner names, and date of joining.
3. Ensure that all partners sign the registration statement.
4. Obtain the Certificate of Registration, which grants enforceability of rights in court.
5. Avoid operating as an unregistered firm, which faces legal disabilities.

Checklist for Compliance and Operations – Indian Partnership Act 1932 PDF Corrida Legal

Corrida Legal's review of the Indian Partnership Act 1932 PDF Corrida Legal emphasises ongoing compliance for smooth operations:

- Maintain books of accounts and allow access to all partners.
- Ensure profit distribution matches the partnership deed.
- Partners must act in good faith and disclose all relevant information.
- Avoid misapplication of firm property or funds, as all partners are jointly liable.
- Keep terms updated through amendments to the partnership deed when required.

Checklist for Dissolution – Bare Act PDF Indian Partnership Act 1932 Download

At the time of dissolution, the Bare Act PDF Indian Partnership Act 1932 Download requires:

1. Settlement of firm debts to third parties.

2. Payment of loans/advances made by partners.
3. Distribution of remaining assets according to the profit-sharing ratio.
4. Intimation of dissolution to the Registrar of Firms (if registered).
5. Settlement of rights of outgoing partners, if applicable.

Executive Summary of the Indian Partnership Act, 1932

The Indian Partnership Act 1932 Bare Act PDF governs the law relating to partnerships in India, defining the rights, duties, liabilities, and relationships of partners with each other and with third parties. Enacted on 1 October 1932, it continues to regulate one of the most common business structures in India's economy.

Key highlights include:

1. **Definition of partnership (Section 4):** A partnership is a relation between persons who agree to share profits of a business carried on by all or any acting for all.
2. **Essentials of partnership:** Requires agreement, lawful business, profit-sharing, and mutual agency.
3. **Types of partnerships:** Includes partnership at will, particular partnerships, registered and unregistered firms.
4. **Rights of partners:** Participation in management, profit-sharing, access to accounts, indemnity, and dissolution rights.
5. **Duties of partners:** Duty of good faith, disclosure, true accounts, diligence, and proper use of firm property.
6. **Liabilities of partners:** Unlimited joint and several liability for firm debts, wrongful acts, and misapplication of funds.
7. **Relations with third parties:** Partners act as agents of the firm, binding all partners through mutual agency.
8. **Registration of firms:** Optional, but unregistered firms cannot sue to enforce contractual rights.
9. **Dissolution of partnership:** May occur by agreement, contingencies, notice, compulsory reasons, or by court order.
10. **Settlement of accounts:** Firm assets first satisfy outside debts, then partner loans, and finally surplus is distributed.
11. **Case law foundations:** *Cox v. Hickman* (mutual agency), *Champaran Cane Concern v. State of Bihar* (registration), *Garner v. Murray* (dissolution losses).
12. **Modern relevance:** Partnerships remain significant in MSMEs and professional firms but face competition from LLPs and companies due to unlimited liability.

Conclusion

The Indian Partnership Act 1932 Bare Act PDF continues to be one of the most relevant statutes in Indian business law, particularly for small enterprises, family businesses, and professional firms. Enacted as a separate legislation from the Indian Contract Act, 1872, it codified the principles of partnership, giving clarity to the rights, duties, and liabilities of partners.

The Act ensures that partnerships—though simple and flexible—operate under a legal framework that balances the freedom of partners with protection for third parties. By defining essentials such as profit-sharing, mutual

agency, and unlimited liability, the Act provides predictability and accountability in commercial dealings.

Key takeaways:

- Partnerships are founded on mutual trust, but the Act enforces statutory duties of good faith, disclosure, and accountability.
- Partners have rights to profits, management, and dissolution, but also bear unlimited joint and several liability.
- Registration, though not mandatory, is practically essential as unregistered firms face serious legal disabilities.
- The Act's rules on dissolution and settlement of accounts protect creditors and ensure fairness among partners.
- Landmark cases like *Cox v. Hickman*, *Champaran Cane Concern*, and *Garner v. Murray* have enriched interpretation of the Act.

Even in the era of LLPs and companies, the Indian Partnership Act remains foundational. It provides the legal DNA for understanding modern business structures while continuing to regulate a vast segment of India's MSME sector.

In essence: The Indian Partnership Act 1932 Executive Summary PDF shows that this statute is not just a historical framework but a living law that continues to safeguard fairness, trust, and accountability in partnerships. Corrida Legal's resources, including the Indian Partnership Act 1932 PDF Corrida Legal, ensure businesses, students, and professionals have both the Bare Act and executive insights at their disposal.